For David Lewis, an action is a choice of a contingency plan. The plans come as whole units and so far do not appear to be conceived as divisible.

“It should not disturb us that this is a problem of coordinating contingency plans, not—as in the previous coordination problems—of coordinating actions themselves. We are treating

How do people come to have the same contingency plans?

The problems with this come in the lack of generalization as well as the nature of the discrete actions, messages, meanings, and contingency plans. I propose a more general framework that takes these into account.

Want to know:

* Does David Lewis deal with the generalization problem that occurs when performing communications that have not previously been encountered?
* Does David Lewis deal with how members of a language community come to agree upon “contingency plans”?